

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY BIENNIAL CONFERENCE

## Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

### Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2012. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, [rangelands.exec@gmail.com](mailto:rangelands.exec@gmail.com).

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

### Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form:

Author family name, initials (year). Title. In: Proceedings of the nth Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. In: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

### Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products



*The Australian Rangeland Society*

# Soil carbon and landscape function: grazing friend or foe?

Helen P King<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fenner School of Environment and Society, Australian National University, ACT 0200, helen.king@anu.edu.au

## Abstract

The majority of Australian grazing lands have been degraded since European settlement, resulting in loss of soil carbon and reduced capacity to provide ecosystem services, from primary productivity and climate moderation to social and aesthetic values. Land degradation has also reduced resilience, making grazing land more vulnerable and less able to adapt to the impacts of climate change. With an already variable climate, much of the country is projected to become hotter and drier, with less rainfall, higher temperatures and higher evapotranspiration likely to shift some currently arable land into rangelands.

Ceasing degradation, restoring degraded land and building soil carbon levels offers multiple co-benefits – increasing primary productivity and water use efficiency while potentially providing a climate change mitigation option and improving adaptive capacity. While inappropriate grazing has contributed to historical loss of soil carbon, there is strong anecdotal evidence that innovative grazing management can regenerate degraded land and build soil carbon. However, little research has been done and results are often contradictory.

This paper presents the preliminary results of a grazing study in south-eastern Australia comparing continuous grazing and rotational grazing using high stocking density, short stocking periods and long rest periods. Preliminary results show differences in pasture composition and response to rainfall between stocking methods, with higher total carbon and total nitrogen under rotational than continuous grazing to 65cm other than in the surface soil where continuous grazing is higher.